IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO. 15482 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: 26.9.2006

Sandeep Kaushal and others ..Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE J.S. KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.D. ANAND

PRESENT: Mr. M.L.Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioners.

**J.S. Khehar, J.** (oral)

The petitioners are Tabla Players in the Department of Education. They were placed in the pay scale of Rs.1200-2100, which was revised to Rs.4020-7000. The solitary contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners is, that the pay scale of the petitioners ought to have been revised to Rs.4410-8000, on account of the fact, that the petitioners are part and parcel of the cadre of Classical and Vernacular teachers. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners, that Classical and Vernacular teachers, who were earlier in the pay scale of Rs.1200-2100, were given the revised pay scale of Rs.4410-8000.

Before we could accept the instant prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, it was imperative to find out whether or not the petitioners as Table Players were a part of the cadre of Classical and Vernacular teachers. We, therefore, repeatedly asked the learned counsel for the petitioners to invite our attention to any order or statutory rule under

which Table Players were treated as a part and parcel of Classical and Vernacular teachers. Learned counsel for the petitioners, however, could not invite our attention to any such order or statutory rule. In view of the above, it is not possible for us to accept the claim of the petitioners to be granted the revised pay scale which was granted to the Classical and Vernacular teachers.

Dismissed.

( J.S. Khehar )
Judge

( S.D. Anand )
Judge

September 26, 2006.
vig